

Comp 411  
Principles of Programming Languages  
Lecture 11  
The Semantics of Recursion II

Corky Cartwright  
February 12, 2021

# Recursive Definitions

Given a Scott-domain  $\mathbf{D}$ , we can write equations of the form:

$$\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{E}_f \quad [\text{Note: } \mathbf{f}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = M_f \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{f} = \lambda x_1, \dots, x_n. M_f]$$

where  $\mathbf{E}_f$  is an expression constructed from constants in  $\mathbf{D}$ , operations (continuous functions) on  $\mathbf{D}$ , and variables.

Example: let  $\mathbf{D}$  be the domain of Jam values. Then

$\mathbf{fact} = \text{map } n \text{ to if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n * \mathbf{fact}(n - 1)$   
is such an equation.

Equations of this form are called *recursive definitions*.

# Solutions to Recursion Equations

- Given a recursion equation:

$$\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{E}_f$$

what is a solution? All of the constants and operations in  $\mathbf{E}_f$  are known except  $\mathbf{f}$  and all variables other than  $\mathbf{f}$  are explicit parameters that have values (or potential values in the case of call-by-name provided as inputs). All functions in  $\mathbf{E}_f$  are continuous.

- A solution to this equation is any continuous function  $\mathbf{f}$  such that  $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{E}_f$ , or alternatively is a fixed point of the function(al)  $\lambda \mathbf{f} . \mathbf{E}_f$ .
- But there may be more than one solution. We want to select the *best* solution  $\mathbf{f}^*$ . Note that  $\mathbf{f}^*$  is an element of whatever domain  $\mathbf{D}^*$  corresponds to the type of  $\mathbf{E}_f$ . In the most common case, it is  $\mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ , but it can be  $\mathbf{D}$ ,  $\mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\mathbf{D}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ ,  $\dots$ . The best solution  $\mathbf{f}^*$  (which always exists and is unique and *computable* for a any domain in  $\mathbf{D}^*$ ) is the *least* solution under the approximation ordering in  $\mathbf{D}^*$ .

# Constructing the Least Solution

How do we know that any solution exists to the equation  $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{E}_f$ ? We will construct the least solution and prove it is a solution!

Since the domain  $\mathbf{D}^*$  for  $\mathbf{f}$  is a Scott-Domain, this domain has a least element  $\perp_{\mathbf{D}^*}$  that approximates every solution to the equation.

Now form the function  $\mathbf{F}: \mathbf{D}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^*$  defined by  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{f}) = \mathbf{E}_f$ , or equivalently,  $\mathbf{F} = \lambda \mathbf{f}. \mathbf{E}_f$  where  $\lambda \mathbf{f}. \mathbf{E}_f$  is *monotonic* and *continuous* (by a lemma we skipped). Note that for a recursive definition of a function,  $\mathbf{F}$  is a *functional*.

Consider the sequence  $\mathbf{S}: \perp_{\mathbf{D}^*}, \mathbf{F}(\perp_{\mathbf{D}^*}), \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{F}(\perp_{\mathbf{D}^*})), \dots, \mathbf{F}^k(\perp_{\mathbf{D}^*}), \dots$

**Claim:**  $\mathbf{S}$  is an ascending chain (chain for short) in  $\mathbf{D}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^*$ .

**Proof.**  $\perp_{\mathbf{D}} \leq \mathbf{F}(\perp_{\mathbf{D}^*})$  by the definition of  $\perp_{\mathbf{D}}$ . If  $\mathbf{M} \leq \mathbf{N}$  then  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{M}) \leq \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{N})$  by monotonicity. Hence,  $\mathbf{F}^k(\perp_{\mathbf{D}}) \leq \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{F}^k(\perp_{\mathbf{D}}))$  by induction on  $k$ . Q.E.D.

**Claim:**  $\mathbf{S}$  has a least upper bound  $\mathbf{f}^*$ .

**Proof.** Trivial.  $\mathbf{S}$  is a chain in  $\mathbf{D}^*$  and hence must have a least upper bound because  $\mathbf{D}^*$  is a Scott-Domain. If  $\mathbf{D}^*$  is a function domain, then  $\mathbf{f}^*$  is continuous by definition.

# Proving $f^*$ is a fixed point of $F$

Must show:  $F(f^*) = f^*$  where  $F = \lambda f. E_f$

Claim: By definition  $f^* = \sqcup F^k(\perp_{D^*})$  Since  $F$  is continuous  
$$F(f^*) = F(\sqcup F^k(\perp_{D^*})) = \sqcup F^{k+1}(\perp_{D^*}) = \sqcup F^k(\perp_{D^*}) = f^* .$$

Note: The second step above relies on the continuity of  $F$  and the third depends on the fact that  $F^0(\perp_{D^*}) = \perp_{D^*} \leq F(\perp_{D^*})$ .

Q.E.D.

# Example

Look at factorial in detail by running the DrRacket stepper or conceptualizing strict continuous functions mapping  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $\mathbb{N}$  where  $\mathbb{N}$  is the domain natural numbers including  $\perp$ , which can be represented as graphs (sets of pairs) over  $\mathbb{N} - \{\perp\}$ . The same observation applies to the domain of Jam values which includes  $\mathbb{N}$  as a subdomain.

# How Can We Compute $f^*$ Given $F$ ?

- Need to construct  $F^\infty(\perp)$  from  $F$ . Can we write code for a function  $Y$  such that  $Y(F) = f^* = F^\infty(\perp)$ .
- Idea: use syntactic trick well known in the  $\lambda$ -calculus to build a potentially infinite stack of  $F$ s, based on an understanding of how evaluation of  $\Omega = (\lambda x. (x x)) (\lambda x. (x x))$  works.
- Preliminary attempt:  $Y(F) = (\lambda x. F(x x)) (\lambda x. F(x x))$
- Reduces to (in one step) to:  $F((\lambda x. F(x x)) (\lambda x. F(x x)))$
- Reduces to (in  $k$  steps) to:  $F^k((\lambda x. F(x x)) (\lambda x. F(x x)))$

# How does the Code for **Y** Work?

In Haskell (or other language with call-by-name)

$$Y = \lambda F. (\lambda x. F(x x)) (\lambda x. F(x x))$$

Hence,  $Y(\text{FACT})$

$$= (\lambda x. \text{FACT}(x x)) (\lambda x. \text{FACT}(x x))$$
$$= \text{FACT}((\lambda x. \text{FACT}(x x)) (\lambda x. \text{FACT}(x x)))$$
$$= \lambda n. \text{if } n=0 \text{ then } 1 \quad ; \text{ only valid in Call-By-Name!}$$
$$\quad \text{else } n * ((\lambda x. \text{FACT}(x x)) (\lambda x. \text{FACT}(x x))) (n-1)$$

implying  $Y(\text{FACT})$  reduces to a value!

Does this work for Scheme (or Java with an appropriate encoding of functions as anonymous inner classes)? No! Why not? What about divergence?  $Y(\text{FACT})$

$$= (\lambda x. \text{FACT}(x x)) (\lambda x. \text{FACT}(x x))$$
$$= \text{FACT}((\lambda x. \text{FACT}(x x)) (\lambda x. \text{FACT}(x x)))$$
$$= \text{FACT}(\text{FACT}(\dots)) \text{ diverging like } \Omega \text{ but growing with each reduction}$$



# Why Does Call-by-name $Y$ Work?

By assumption the functional  $G$  corresponding to a recursive function definition must have the form  $\lambda f. \lambda n. M$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda F. ((\lambda x. F(x x)) (\lambda x. F(x x)))) G \\ = & G ((\lambda x. G(x x)) (\lambda x. G(x x))) \\ = & (\lambda f. \lambda n. M) ((\lambda x. G(x x)) (\lambda x. G(x x))) \\ = & \lambda n. M_{[f \leftarrow (\lambda x. G(x x)) (\lambda x. G(x x))]} \end{aligned}$$

which is a value. If the evaluation of  $M$  does not require evaluating an occurrence of  $f$ , then  $(\lambda x. G(x x)) (\lambda x. G(x x))$  is not evaluated. Otherwise, the binding of  $x$  is unwound only as many times as required to get to the base case in the definition  $f = \lambda n. M$ .

**Exercise:** How can we workaroud this problem to create a version of the  $Y$  operator that works for call-by-value Scheme and Jam?

# Why Does Call-by-name **Y** Work?

By assumption the functional **G** corresponding to a recursive function definition must have the form  $\lambda f. \lambda n. M$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda F. ((\lambda x. F(x\ x)) (\lambda x. F(x\ x))))\ G \\ = & G ((\lambda x. G(x\ x)) (\lambda x. G(x\ x))) \\ = & (\lambda f. \lambda n. M) ((\lambda x. G(x\ x)) (\lambda x. G(x\ x))) \\ = & \lambda n. M_{[f \leftarrow (\lambda x. G(x\ x)) (\lambda x. G(x\ x))]} \end{aligned}$$

which is a value. If the evaluation of **M** does not require evaluating an occurrence of **f**, then  $(\lambda x. G(x\ x)) (\lambda x. G(x\ x))$  is not evaluated. Otherwise, the binding of **x** is unwound only as many times as required to get to the base case in the definition  $f = \lambda n. M$ . But each unwinding requires a few reduction steps, so this definition is a poor way to implement recursion!

**Exercise:** how can we workaroud this problem to create a version of the **Y** operator that works for call-by-value Scheme and Jam?

See the next lecture.