

# **Interim Report of the Rice University Faculty Merger Review Committee**

August 28, 2009

## **FMRC Members**

Donald Morrison, Chair  
Bonnie Bartel  
John Boles  
Baruch Brody  
Michael Emerson  
Vivian Ho  
Randy Hulet  
Rebecca Richards-Kortum  
Richard Lavenda  
Jim Pomerantz  
Albert Pope  
Evan Siemann  
Moshe Vardi  
Duane Windsor  
Michael Wolf

## **Department**

Philosophy and Classical Studies  
Biochemistry & Cell Biology  
History  
Philosophy  
Sociology  
Economics and Baker Institute  
Physics & Astronomy  
Bioengineering  
Shepherd School of Music  
Psychology  
Architecture  
Ecology & Evolutionary Biology  
Computer Science  
Jones School of Business  
Mathematics

This interim report, along with the two subcommittee reports it cites, can be found in the Working Groups area within the Rice-BCM website: <http://rice-bcm.rice.edu/>

We invite your comments. Send to: [fmrc@rice.edu](mailto:fmrc@rice.edu)

## **Preamble**

The Faculty Merger Review Committee (FMRC) intended to make a full-scale report to the faculty at the start of the semester, but that has not been possible. Merger discussions have been going slowly, and many key decisions have not yet been made: the disposition of the incomplete hospital and its debt; the resolution of a clinical plan for Baylor College of Medicine (BCM); arrangements with participating hospitals, especially adult care hospitals; securing adequate philanthropic commitments; a reliable estimate of the expenses necessary to implement the merger; the amount of investment available for program enhancement and its allocation between Rice and Baylor; and plans for additional fundraising to make possible maximum academic benefits to Rice from a merger without starving existing or future non-medical related programs at Rice. Absent the resolution of these issues, it is impossible for the FMRC to fully assess the risks and benefits of a merger.

Complicated and delicate negotiations are ongoing, and the committee has been told much that cannot be disclosed without violating confidentiality. For example: if a Rice/Baylor merger goes forward, Rice will make a substantial one-time investment to support the merger. The committee has been told the planned size of this investment. Because it is still subject to negotiations, the FMRC cannot disclose the amount. Therefore our ability to report to the faculty on the financial costs, including opportunity costs, of a merger is severely constrained. Part of Rice's one-time investment will be in academic enhancement at BCM and Rice. An important issue for Rice faculty is the academic benefits that would flow from the portion of enhancement funds spent at Rice. Despite these limitations, we are providing the following interim report of our view of the potential benefits and risks of a possible merger with BCM, along with our view of the preconditions under which a merger might be advisable.

## **Benefits**

- 1. Research.** Both fundamental biosciences and its applications are likely to provide defining scientific achievements in the coming decades. Rice is significantly underinvested in this area. A BCM-Rice merger is the clearest, but not the only way, for Rice to become a major player in these areas. Several programs at Rice are expected to greatly benefit from a merger (bioengineering, biochemistry and cell biology, statistics, health policy, neuroscience), but research collaborations could reasonably be expected to develop in fields as wide-ranging as music to physics to sociology to electrical engineering to religious studies.<sup>1</sup>
- 2. Teaching.** Teaching benefits could be expected in a variety of forms. Certain new and emerging programs such as neuroscience and health policy could quickly become significant majors or minors for Rice undergraduates. Some Baylor faculty have expressed interest in undergraduate teaching, through entire courses

---

<sup>1</sup> See two reports available at the Rice-BCM website (<http://rice-bcm.rice.edu/>): (1) Report of the Rice University – Baylor College of Medicine Research Merger Sub-Committee, 7/31/2009 [West/Gibbs subcommittee report]; and (2) the Report of the Subcommittee on Collaborative Activities of the Faculty Advisory Committee, [Boles subcommittee report].

- or guest lectures. Some existing undergraduate courses would benefit from exposure to the clinical environment at Baylor, and undergraduate and graduate students could take courses offered in Baylor's Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences. Opportunities exist for joint graduate programs and dual-degree programs, such as M.D.-Ph.D. programs.
3. **Preservation of Baylor as a stable partner.** Many programs at Rice enjoy productive research and/or teaching relationships with BCM. One must consider the potential harm to these relationships if Rice and BCM do not merge, or if BCM ultimately merged with another institution or became significantly weaker. Merging with Rice would provide needed stability to joint Rice/BCM undertakings.
  4. **Rice's place in the world.** Rice aspires to be one of the leading universities in the world. We hope to attain that status through outstanding, internationally recognized programs of research and education. A successful merger with BCM should help make all this possible, including expanding opportunities for undergraduates.

## Risks

1. The merger would create one of the most unbalanced academic institutions in the US, with a very small university attached to a large medical school. This imbalance could impact Rice's academic mission, culture, and its finances.
2. The financial risks to Rice of merging with BCM are significant and are amplified by the large size differential between the two institutions. The annual operating budget at BCM, for example, is almost three times the size of Rice's budget. Consequently, small fractional changes in total revenue of the combined institution could have a large impact on funds available for Rice's traditional mission.
3. By joining with BCM, Rice will be acquiring a large exposure to a volatile industry with changing economics. Over time, e.g., NIH budgets may decline and the nation's system of payments for medical services is likely to change.
4. The recent situation at BCM has led some of its staff to leave and others to contemplate leaving. It is possible that the BCM with which Rice would merge would be significantly weaker than the BCM of a few years ago.
5. Inadequate execution could limit anticipated benefits. (See Condition 6 below.)
6. Because BCM is in a turnaround situation, its financial future and the future of its institutional relationships are less predictable.

## Conditions

⊗ We believe a Rice/Baylor merger should take place only if the following conditions are met:

1. Baylor must be on a credible path to eliminate its operating deficit.
2. A strong, stable relationship with one or more adult private hospital partners must be found, comparable to that found at top-ranked medical schools.
3. A satisfactory outcome must be found for the McNair campus and its associated debt that does not result in Rice's owning a hospital or having liability from it.
4. The majority of funding for the merger should come from sources other than the Rice endowment or borrowing.
5. The potential for additional research and education collaborations between Rice and BCM is impressive. Realizing the potential for non-science, small-science, and large-science collaborations will be expensive. Non-biomedical related aspects of Rice must not be starved in order to support programs directly related to BCM. In addition to maintaining the pre-merger inflation-adjusted budget for these programs, funds must remain available for growth, innovation, and achievement in non-biomedical programs at Rice. Therefore, in addition to any investments made in BCM, we believe that a minimum of \$250 million of additional endowment must be raised in the next 5 - 8 years to support both one-time seed funding and recurring expenses such as salaries for new positions at Rice.<sup>2</sup>
6. Clear and firm arrangements for execution and implementation are essential:
  - a. The Rice top-level administrative team is currently very lean and will need to expand.
  - b. An external, independent advisory team of experienced academicians and administrators must be brought to Houston to advise on the academic issues of implementation.
  - c. The Rice faculty and administration need to keep in mind that without a large commitment of faculty time and attention, this merger will not succeed.
  - d. Faculty must be engaged meaningfully with deans in planning a clear academic strategy for the merged institution, including both research and teaching initiatives.

⊗ Even if all the above conditions are met, there is room for debate whether the benefits of a merger to Rice are worth the significant remaining risks. On this, there is a range of opinions within the committee. The ultimate responsibility for this decision resides, of course, with the Rice University Board of Trustees.

### **Further thoughts**

1. Rice has not yet conducted a comprehensive nationwide study of the academic relations between medical schools and the rest of the university, in order to

---

<sup>2</sup> See the Boles subcommittee report for a conservative estimate of \$150 million for a portion of these costs.

discover cases of success and failure from which Rice could learn. We recommend that such a study be undertaken before a decision to merge is made. In any case, we strongly recommend that this analysis be done before the academic implementation of a merger begins.

2. A carefully conducted impact analysis on rankings (USN&WR, NRC, etc.) is needed to determine the likely effect of this merger on Rice and on BCM.
3. After thinking through the issue for some months, we believe that many (although not all) of the benefits of expanded cooperation that might result from a merger could also be realized without a merger. The key element needed is an Office of Cooperation. If a merger does not occur, we recommend that Rice move immediately to establish a strong, effective, and well-funded Office of Rice-TMC Cooperation.
4. The committee agrees with the thesis that over the coming decades, Rice's standing as a major research university is in danger. This is a serious issue that deserves more thought and investigation. Joining with a medical school is one way to expand our research profile and visibility. Whether or not Rice merges with BCM, other strategies for enhancement need to be explored.
5. Many Rice faculty are concerned about issues of faculty governance, such as tenure and the Senate. The committee and the Senate leadership believe that the faculty governance issues are solvable.