EXPECTED PROPERTIES OF SET PARTITIONS

M.Y. Vardi

Department of Applied Mathematics
The Weizmann Institute of Science
Rehovot, Israel

1. BASIC DEFINITIONS

A <u>sequence</u> is a k-tuple $\langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle$ for some k > 0, such that $x_i \neq x_j$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq k$. We define $SET(\langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle) = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$. Let S be a non-empty finite set, $S = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$. A <u>unordered partition</u> of S is a collection of sets $\{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$ such that S_i in $S_j = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq k$, S_i is a for $1 \leq i \leq k$, and S_i is a unordered partition of S is a sequence of sets $\langle S_1, \dots, S_k \rangle$ such that $\{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$ is a unordered partition of S. An <u>ordered partition</u> of S is a sequence of sequences $\langle s_1, \dots, s_k \rangle$ such that $\{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$ is a unordered partition of S. An <u>ordered partition</u> of S is a sequence of sequences $\langle s_1, \dots, s_k \rangle$ such that $\{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$ is a unordered partition of S.

Let P be a partition of S (unordered, semi-ordered or ordered). The length of P, denoted L(P), is the number of elements (sets or

sequences) in P. The weight of P, denoted W(P), is the number of elements in the first element of P (i.e., S_1 or s_1). Assuming that all partitions of some type are equiprobable, we define $L_U(m)$ as the expected length of all unordered partitions of m-sets, and we define $W_U(m)$ as the expected weight of all unordered partitions of m-sets. Similiarly, we define $L_S(m)$ and $W_S(m)$ for semi-ordered partitions, and we define $L_O(m)$ and $W_O(m)$ for ordered partitions. In what follows we investigate these parameters.

2. ORDERED PARTITIONS

Let h(m) denote the number of ordered partitions of m-sets.

Proposition 2.1: $h(m) = m! \cdot 2^{m-1}$.

<u>Proof</u>: There are $h(m-k) \cdot m!/(m-k)!$ ordered partitions P of m-sets such that W(P) = k. Thus, h(m) is defined by the recurrence relation $h(m) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} h(m-i) \cdot m!/(m-i)!$, where h(0) = 1. It is easily verified that $h(m) = m! \cdot 2^{m-1}$.

Proposition 2.2: $W_0(m) = 2 - 2^{1-m}$.

Proof: Since all ordered partitions are equiprobable, we have

$$W_0(m) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} i^*(m!/(m-i)!)^*(h(m-i)/h(m)) = 2 - 2^{1-m}$$
.

Corollary: $\lim_{m \to \infty} W_0(m) = 2$.

Proposition 2.3: $L_O(m) = m/2 + 1/2$.

<u>Proof</u>: There are $m! C(m-1,k-1)^{(1)}$ ordered partitions P of m-sets such that L(P)=k, hence

$$L_O(m) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} i^*m! C(m-1, i-1)/h(m) = m/2 + 1/2.$$

3. SEMI-ORDERED PARTITIONS

Let g(m) denote the number of semi-ordered partitions of m-sets. There are C(m,k) *f(m-k) semi-ordered partitions P of m-sets such that W(P) = k. Thus, g(m) is defined by the recurrence relation $g(m) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C(m,i)$ *g(m-i), where g(0) = 1.

<u>Proposition 3.1:</u> $W_S(m) = 2m^*g(m-1)/g(m)$.

<u>Proof</u>: Since all semi-ordered partitions are equiprobable $W_S(m) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i C(m,i) g(m-i)/g(m) = 2m g(m-1)/g(m)$.

Proposition 3.2: $L_S(m) = g(m+1)/2g(m) - 1/2$.

<u>Proof:</u> Let G(m,k) denote the number of semi-ordered partitions P of m-sets such that L(P) = k. Such a partition can be obtained by adding the m-th element in one of k possible ways to a semi-ordered partition P' of m-1-set such that L(P') is either k or k-1. Hence G(m,k) is defined by the recurrence relation

 $G(m,k) = k^{\circ}[G(m-1,k-1) + G(m-1,k)], \text{ where } G(m,k) = 0 \text{ for } k < 1 \text{ and } k > m.$

Thus,
$$L_S(m) = \int_{C_{2n}}^{\infty} i G(m,i)/g(m) = g(m+1)/2g(m) - 1/2.$$

To study the asymptotic behaviour of $W_{S}(\mathbf{m})$ and $\mathbf{L}_{S}(\mathbf{m})$ we study first

⁽¹⁾ C(m,n) is the binomial coefficient $m!/(n! \cdot (m-n)!)$.

the asymptotic behaviour of g(m).

Proposition 3.3: $g(m) \sim m! \cdot (\ln 2)^{-m-1}/2$.

Proof: Define the generating function for g(m): $G(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x^i g(i)/i!$. Using the recurrence relation for g(m) we get $G(x) = 1 + (e^X - 1)^*G(x)$; hence $G(x) = 1/(2 - e^X)$. We use Darboux' method of finding late term coefficients and expand G(x) in the neighbourhood of the singularity point $x = \ln 2$. Thus, using $e^{x-\ln 2} \approx 1 + x - \ln 2$, we get:

$$G(x) = 1/(2-e^{x}) = 1/2(1-e^{x-\ln 2}) =$$

$$= (1/2) \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (x + \ln(e/2))^{i} = (1/2) \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x^{i} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} C(i+j,j) \cdot (\ln(e/2))^{j}.$$
Hence, $g(m) \sim (m!/2) \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} C(m+j,j) \cdot (\ln(e/2))^{j}$, and by Gould (1972, formula 1.3), $g(m) \sim m! \cdot (\ln 2)^{-m-1}/2.$

Corollary 1: $\lim_{m\to\infty} W_S(m) = \ln 4$.

Corollary 2: L_S(m) ~ m/ln 4. []

3. UNORDERED PARTITIONS

Let f(m) denote the number of unordered partitions of m-sets. There are C(m-1,k-1) 'f(m-k) unordered partitions P of m-sets such that W(P) = k. Thus, f(m) is defined by the recurrence relation $f(m) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C(m-1,i-1)$ 'f(m-i), where f(0) = 1.

<u>Proposition 4.1:</u> $W_U(m) = 1 + (m-1)^{\circ}g(m-1)/g(m)$.

Proof: Since all unordered partitions are equiprobable

$$W_{U}(m) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} i \cdot C(m-1, i-1) \cdot f(m-i) / f(m) = 1 + (m-1) \cdot f(m-1) / f(m). \square$$

Proposition 4.2: $L_{II}(m) = f(m+1)/f(m) - 1$.

<u>Proof</u>: Let F(m,k) denote the number of unordered partitions P of m-sets such that L(P) = k. Such a partition can be obtained either by adding the m-th element in one of k possible ways to a unordered partition P' of an m-l-set such that L(P')=k, or by taking a unordered partition P' of an m-l-set such that L(P')=k-1 and adding the m-th element as the k-th set. Hence

$$F(m,k) = F(m-1,k-1) + k*F(m-1,k)$$
, where $F(m,k) = 0$ for $k < 1$ or $k > m$.
Thus, $L_U(m) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} i*F(m,i)/f(m) = f(m+1)/f(m) - 1$.

Let u(m) be the solution of the transcendental equation $u^*e^{u}=m+1$, that is $u^*-\ln m-\ln \ln m$.

Proposition 4.3: $W_U(m) \sim u(m)$, $L_U(m) \sim m/u(m)$.

Proof: By de Bruijn (1970, \$6.2), the asymptotic approximation of
f(m) is

$$f(m) \sim m! \exp(e^{u} - u \cdot e^{u} \cdot \ln u - u/2) / (e^{2} \cdot 2 \cdot (1+1/u))^{1/2}$$

The claim follows by Propositions 4.1 and 4.2. []

ACKNOWLEDGEMNT

I am grateful to Professor J. Gillis for suggesting the use of Darboux' method to prove Proposition 3.3.

REFERENCES

N.G. de Bruijn (1970): Asymptotic methods in analysis, North-Holland, Amsterdam.

H.W. Gould (1972): Combinatorial identities, Margantown, W. Va.