

Comp 311  
Principles of Programming Languages  
Lecture 22  
What is a Type?

Corky Cartwright  
October 27, 2010

# What is a Type?

**Canonical example:** consider the expression of the form

*(if big-ugly-expression*  
*(5 6)*  
*a-nice-value)*

which may be embedded deep inside a program. What type should a language translator (compiler/interpreter) assign to this expression?

How will this expression behave? If *big-ugly-expression* is false, then the expression will produce a legal result. In this case, it is plausible for the type-checker to return the type of this value as the type of expression. But what if *big-ugly-expression* is true? Then the expression will generate a run-time error. Even worse, it is a statically detectable run-time error.

Type-checkers should assume all code fragments are meaningful. Otherwise, why is the fragment included as part of the program? Hence, all type checkers will reject this expression – even if *big-ugly-expression* is obviously false (e.g., *big-ugly-expression* is the constant **false**).

# Intuitive Assumptions in Type Checking

**Idea 1: Types are names for sets of values.**

**Idea 2: The valid sets of "input values" for each program operation can be described in terms of types. (most of the time)**

Perhaps the second idea can be made completely true by imposing it as part of the contract for any operation. Example: zip in a functional language. In this case, contract must include check for equal lengths.

**Idea 3: The application of program operations and the returning of values as the results of defined operations (methods, functions, procedures) induces constraints on program types.**

The mathematical constraints are subtyping relationships:

- (i) the type of an operation argument must be a subtype of its declared input type;
- (ii) the type of the result returned by an operation must be a subtype of its declared result type.

in practice, most type systems force the type equality instead of type containment. It greatly simplifies the structure of the type systems.

# Typed Lambda Calculus

The (simply) typed lambda calculus is the foundation of structural typing which is the overwhelmingly dominant typing discipline in functional languages.

Syntax:

$$M ::= \lambda V:\tau . M \mid (M M) \mid V$$

$$\tau ::= D \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau$$

where  $D$  is an unspecified “ground” (non-functional) type like `int`.

# Typing Rules for Typed Lambda Calculus

Typing Judgment has form:  $\Gamma \mid M : \tau$

where  $\Gamma$  is a set of typings of the form  $v:\tau$  where  $v$  is either a variable or a constant.

$$\frac{\Gamma, x:\sigma \mid M : \tau}{\Gamma \mid \lambda x:\sigma . M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau} \quad \text{(abstraction rule)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \mid f : \sigma \rightarrow \tau; \quad \Gamma \mid M : \sigma}{\Gamma \mid (f M) : \tau} \quad \text{(application rule)}$$

# Typing Rules for Typed Lambda Calculus

Top level programs are typed with respect to a *base* type environment that contains the typings of all program constants (including functions). For the *simply* typed lambda calculus, the base type environment is *empty*, because *there are no constants*.

In some formulations of structural typing rules, the typings of constants are placed in a separate constant type environment that is always implicitly available in addition to the explicit type environment  $\Gamma$  appearing in type judgments.