

Comp 311
Principles of Programming Languages
Lecture 12
The Semantics of Recursion III

Corky Cartwright
Swarat Chaudhuri
September 19, 2011

Call-by-value Fixed-Point Operators

Given a recursive definition in a **call-by-value** language

$$\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{E}_f$$

where \mathbf{E}_f is an expression constructed from constants in the based data domain D , operations (continuous functions) on D , and \mathbf{f} , what does it mean?

Example: let D be the domain of Scheme values. Then

fact =

(lambda (n) (if (zero? n) 1 (* n (fact (- n 1)))))

is a program defining a function in $D \rightarrow D$.

In a call-by-name language, the meaning of **fact** is

Y (lambda (f) E_f)

where **Y =**

(lambda (F) (lambda (x) (F (x x))) (lambda (x) (F (x x))))

but this expression diverges using call-by-value beta-reduction.

Formulating Y_v (Call-by-Value Y)

Key trick: use η -conversion to delay evaluation.

In the mathematical literature on the λ -calculus, η -conversion is often assumed as an axiom. In models of the λ -calculus, it is typically required to hold.

Definition: η -conversion is the following equation:

$$M = \lambda x. Mx$$

where x is not free in M .

Examples:

$$y = \lambda x. yx$$

$$\lambda y. y = \lambda x. (\lambda y. y)x$$

What Is the Code for Y_v ?

$\lambda F. (\lambda x. \lambda y. F (x x) y) (\lambda x. \lambda y. F (x x) y)$

- Recall that application associates to the left: $F (x x) y = (F (x x)) y$
- Does this work for Scheme (or Java with an appropriate encoding of functions as anonymous inner classes)? Yes!

- Let G be some functional $G = \lambda f. \lambda n. M_f$ like **FACT** for a recursive *function* definition. G is a value. Then

$Y_v G \rightarrow (\lambda x. \lambda y. G (x x) y) (\lambda x. \lambda y. G (x x) y) \rightarrow$
 $\lambda y. G ((\lambda x. \lambda z. G (x x) z) (\lambda x. \lambda z. G (x x) z)) y$
 is a value.

- Hence, $G (Y_v G) \rightarrow (\lambda n. M_f) [f := Y_v G]$ is a value.

- Moreover,

$Y_v G = \lambda y. G ((\lambda x. \lambda z. G (x x) z) (\lambda x. \lambda z. G (x x) z)) y =$
 $\lambda y. G (Y_v G) y$

which is the η -conversion of $G (Y_v G)$

Loose Ends

- Meta-errors
- Read the notes!
 - Explains how to implement rec-let more thoroughly