Nanostructure Design Using Protein Building Blocks Enhanced by Conformationally Constrained Synthetic Residues†
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ABSTRACT: Increasing efforts are being invested in the construction of nanostructures with desired shapes and physical and chemical properties. Our strategy involves nanostructure design using naturally occurring protein building blocks. Inspection of the protein structural database (PDB) reveals the richness of the conformations, shapes, and chemistries of proteins and their building blocks. To increase the population of the native fold in the selected building block, we mutate natural residues by engineered, constrained residues that restrict the conformational freedom at the targeted site and have favorable interactions, geometry, and size. Here, as a model system, we construct nanotubes using building blocks from left-handed β-helices which are commonly occurring repeat protein architectures. We pick two-turn β-helical segments, duplicate and stack them, and using all-atom molecular dynamics simulations (MD) with explicit solvent probe the structural stability of these nanotubular structures as indicated by their capacity to retain the initial organization and their conformational dynamics. Comparison of the results for the wild-type and mutated sequences shows that the introduction of the conformationally restricted 1-aminocyclopropanecarboxylic acid (Ac3c) residue in loop regions greatly enhances the stability of β-helix nanotubes. The Ac3c geometrical confinement effect is sequence-specific and position-specific. The achievement of high stability of nanotubular structures originates not only from the reduction of mobility at the mutation site induced by Ac3c but also from stabilizing association forces between building blocks such as hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic contacts. For the selected synthetic residue, similar size, hydrophobicity, and backbone conformational tendencies are desirable as in the Ac3c.

The design of self-assembled nanostructures has important potential biomedical applications such as antiviral drug carriers, scaffolds for tissue repair, and spectroscopic labels for therapeutic and photonic applications (1–3). The considerable efforts which have been invested have achieved remarkable progress toward obtaining supramolecules consisting of self-assembled synthetic polymers (4). However, successful design of synthetic polymers for biomedical applications remains a challenge due to their biocompatibility, biological activity and specificity, solubility, and degradability (5). Only a few therapeutic studies exist in vivo under physiological conditions (6). Yet, detailed structural, functional, and morphological information is available for numerous peptides and proteins (7), and self-assembly of those proteins/peptides into well-defined 2D and 3D nanostructures is a common process in many natural systems. Peptides and proteins are known to form ordered amyloid fibrils with a predominance of secondary structures consisting of β-strands. Surfactant-like peptides form nanotubes (8, 9) and nanowires (10, 11). The type 1 human immunodeficiency virus (HIV-1) capsid protein (CA) subunits can spontaneously assemble into complex tubular/conical/spherical viral structures in vitro (12, 13). However, a complete understanding of the kinetic process of the self-assembly into complex nanostructures and the nanostructure–function relationship in the living cell is still lacking.

Our approach for already a number of years involves protein and nanostructure design using naturally occurring protein building blocks. Construction of stable nanostructures with different shapes using natural building blocks appears to be a reasonable strategy toward precisely and quantitatively controlling the supramolecular assemblies. A building block is a structural unit which, if cut from the protein chain and placed in solution, is still likely to have a conformation similar to the one it has when embedded in the native protein structure (14). That is, its preferred conformational state is the native state. The Protein Data Bank is populated by an extensive repertoire of building blocks, with different shapes,
sizes, and chemical properties which can be used in design (15). Using building blocks has advantages, since these structural elements have been perfected by evolution, are easily available, and are biocompatible. The challenge is to be able to predictably modulate them toward the desired design goal. To successfully engineer nanostructures using naturally occurring building blocks, two criteria should be fulfilled. First, the selected building block should have a high population time in the desired conformation, and second, the association between the building blocks should be favorable, with an energy gap between the desired self-assembly and all other potential associations. To fulfill the first criterion, selection of a relatively stable structural unit such as a structural repeat taken from a commonly occurring repeat protein architecture (16–21) appears a promising choice. For the second, attempting to preserve a native interface or construct a closely related analogue also appears a reasonable approach (22). Nevertheless, naturally occurring systems are perfected by evolution toward a particular function. In general, protein function requires that the protein not be too stable since protein flexibility is often crucial. In contrast, for a designed nanostructure stability is likely to be the key. Thus, to enhance the population time of the building block, our group has been engineering conformationally restricted residues (23) which would be inserted or substituted for natural residues. The constrained motion limits the dynamics at the mutated site.

Experimentally, to predictably design, synthesize, manipulate, and fabricate novel nanostructures at the molecular level is still a highly challenging task. Due to recent advances in efficient simulation algorithms and high-performance computing, large-scale atomistic molecular dynamics simulations have provided valuable insights by exploring the molecular properties of structural models. Since simulations can quickly probe many models and provide potentially good candidate nanostructures in terms of structural stability and minimum free energy for experimental test, they could accelerate the design process.

An Overview of Our System: Stacked β-Helical Conformational Motifs Enhanced by Synthetic Residues. β-Helical proteins are promising candidates for designing nanostructures since (i) they contain highly repetitive, symmetrical building blocks (16) which could be used easily and readily to develop novel nanomaterials such as nanotubes and nanofibers without performing many structural manipulations and (ii) they mostly occur in or near active or binding sites, thus likely to be of functional importance. Many naturally occurring proteins (24–34) have been found to contain β-helical fragments that can fold into a helical, tubular or fibrillar architecture. As compared with right-handed β-helices, left-handed β-helices exhibit small variability of shape, size, and sequence. Typically, they have an equilateral triangular shape and highly repetitive sequence (35). In contrast, the sequences and shapes of right-handed β-helices are less regular. Guo et al. (36) presented a structural model for the Aβ amyloid fibril core structure using a series of left-handed and right-handed β-helical proteins. They found that the core structure based on left-handed β-helical proteins was much more stable than the one based on right-handed β-helical proteins. By combining molecular modeling and electron crystallographic data, Govaerts and colleagues (26) reported that the structure of prions in the plastic region was compatible with a trimeric model, with left-handed, parallel β-helices. Thus, the left-handed β-helical building blocks are well suited for the design of nanostructures.

Here, we adopted a “bottom-up” approach to construct stable nanotubular structures from monomeric naturally occurring β-helical building blocks. We obtained β-helical motifs by slicing β-helices into two-turn repeat units. We computationally stacked four copies of the repeat unit on top of each other, with no covalent linkage between them (Figure 1), followed by the assessment of the feasibility of the construct, which was performed via relatively short all-atom simulations (37–43). Constructs which do not preserve their organization in the simulations are unlikely to preserve their organization in experiment; those that preserve their organization in the simulations are candidates for experiment. We selected repeats capable of tube organization and introduced conformationally restricted residues in strategic positions in order to reduce the conformational freedom and increase the relative weight of the desired repeat fold. The relative stabilities of the mutated proteins were probed by simulations.

Previously (15, 44), we examined nanostructures constructed from a broad range of potential motifs. Here, we focused on the most and least stable motifs. MD simulations were performed to test the structural stability and dynamics of the nanotubular structures constructed by the two left-handed β-helical peptides. To enhance the thermodynamic
stability of a given β-helical repeat sequence, we engineered chemically constrained residues with backbone conformational tendencies similar to those of natural amino acids in the most mobile (loop) regions. Among the synthetic residues that our group has prepared and studied, here we focused on 1-amino-2,3-cyclopropene-1-carboxylic acid (Ac3c), a simple cyclic α,α-dialkylated amino acid with strong steric constraints induced by the highly strained cyclopropane ring. We also tested its double-phenyl derivative, 1-amino-2,2-diphenylcyclopentene-1-carboxylic acid (c3Dip), a cyclopropane analogue of phenylalanine bearing two geminal phenyl rings. However, this substitution was unsuccessful, due to the steric effects induced by the residue side chain size.

**Survey of Ac3c Derivatives.** Ac3c is the simplest achiral Cα-tetrasubstituted α-amino acid with Cη ↔ Cα cyclization (Figure 2a). The stereochemical constraints of this amino acid are produced by the unfavorable stereo interaction of the two β-methylene groups and by the three-membered ring rigidity. The conformational preferences of Ac3c have been characterized by energy computations of the monomeropeptide (45–47) and X-ray diffraction analyses (48–51) of a variety of peptides of this residue up to the tetramer level. These illustrated that the Ac3c amino acid prefers the “bridge” region of the Ramanchandran map, i.e., (φ,ψ) ∼ (±80°, 0°), which corresponds to position i + 2 of type I/′ and type II/II′ β-turns.

Theoretical studies indicated that the tendency of Ac3c to adopt a small value of ψ is due to the hyperconjugation between the lone pairs of the carbonyl oxygen of the residue and some adjacent molecular orbitals associated with the C=C bond (52). This conjugative ability of the Ac3c cyclopropyl moiety was demonstrated by X-ray crystallography. The N=Cα and Cα–C bond lengths are significantly shortened compared to Cα-trisubstituted and Cα-tetrasubstituted α-amino acids (53), and the mean exocyclic N=Cα–C bond angle is significantly larger (116°–118°) than the tetrahedral angle (109.5°). Thus, the strong tendency of Ac3c to adopt β-turn conformations is enhanced by specific intrareidue electronic interactions.

Incorporation of selectively oriented side substituents into conformationally restricted amino acids allows increased control of the backbone fold (54). Cyclopropane analogues of phenylalanine are particularly attractive because the rigidly oriented phenyl side groups may interact with the backbone sterically and electronically through the aromatic π-orbitals (49, 51, 55). The side chain orientation of 1-amino-2-phenylcyclopropene-1-carboxylic acid (c3Phe) stereoisomers drastically affects the backbone conformational preferences, with a tendency to adopt folded conformations (52, 56, 57). This tendency was observed in the stereoisomers of 1-amino-2,3-diphenylcyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid with the phenyl substituents in a trans relative disposition (c3dilPhe) (55, 58) in both solid state and solution. A cyclopropane analogue of phenylalanine bearing two geminal phenyl side substituents was recently incorporated into Pro-c3Dip. X-ray diffraction analysis showed that the (S)-Pro-(R)-c3Dip stereoisomer adopts two consecutive γ-turns stabilized by intramolecular hydrogen bonds (59). The ability of c3Dip to adopt a γ-turn and to induce this structural motif in neighboring amino acids was explained by calculations (60). The dihedral angle ψ values for all cyclopropane analogues of phenylalanine are close to 0° due to the presence of hyperconjugative effects (52, 58, 60). It is worth noting that interesting supramolecular structures have been characterized for peptides rich in c3Dip (61, 62).

Here we focused on Ac3c, the simplest Cα-tetrasubstituted cyclic α-amino acid promoting β-turn-type conformations, and c3Dip (Figure 2b), in which the Ac3c conformational preferences are guided toward the γ-turn. Force field parameters for Ac3c and its derivatives were explicitly developed (Figure 2 and refs 45 and 46).

**METHODS**

**Left-Handed β-Helical Peptides.** Two protein fragments (PDB codes 1KRR and 1HV9), derived from left-handed β-helical proteins, were selected to introduce a targeted replacement by the conformationally restricted Ac3c residue in this work. The 1KRR (63) is obtained from galactoside acetyltransferase from *Escherichia coli* (residues 131–165) and the 1HV9 (64) from *Neurospora crassa*-1-phosphate uridyltransferase GlmU, C-terminal domain from *E. coli* (residues 296–329). Both X-ray crystal structures of 1KRR and 1HV9 show that these two protein fragments have an almost perfect equilateral triangular shape, with each side being ∼18 Å. Each sequence contains a repetitive helical strand–loop motif, where the peptide backbones alternate between β-strands and loops. 1KRR exhibits three short loops at positions of Pro1, Pro18, Gly19 (loop 1), Ser12, Hsd13, Ala30, Gly31 (loop 2), and Asn6, Asn7, Asp24, Asn25 (loop 3), while 1HV9 exhibits three similar short loops at positions of Pro16, Tyr17, Pro33, Phe34 (loop 1), Lys4, Asn5, Glu21, Asp22 (loop 2), and Asp10, Asp11, Ala27, Ala28 (loop 3) (Figure 3). The interior protein core is mainly packed by hydrophobic side chains. The bulky hydrophobic residues (Ile and Val) predominantly stack in the center while smaller residues (Ala and Thr) are in the corner. To construct the candidate nanotubular structures, four repeated subunits are stacked on top of each other with an intermolecular distance between two neighboring subunits of 4.8 Å. The N-terminal is acetylated and the C-terminal is amidated to avoid terminal charges.

**MD Simulation Protocols.** All molecular dynamics simulations were conducted by using the NAMD program (65) with the all-atom CHARMM27 force field (66). Each system was solvated in a TIP3P water box with a minimum distance of at least 8 Å from any edge of the box to any protein atom. Any water molecule within 2.4 Å of the peptide was removed. We followed the standard protocol for each MD simulation, which consists of an initial minimization, heating
procedure, equilibrium, and production run. Each system was initially energy minimized to remove unfavorable contacts using the conjugate gradient method for 3000 steps. The system was then subjected to 200 ps of heating procedure from 50 to 330 K with a position constraint imposed on the peptides to allow relaxation of water molecules. The following 500 ps equilibrium run was performed with position constraints on the protein. The production run was carried out in the NPT ensemble (1 atm and 330 K) using 3D periodic boundary conditions with the minimum image convention. The temperature was controlled at 330 K by a Langevin thermostat with a damping coefficient of 5 ps$^{-1}$, while the pressure was maintained at 1 bar by a Langevin piston with a decay period of 100 fs and a damping time of 50 fs. During the simulations, all covalent bonds involving hydrogen were constrained by using the SHAKE algorithm so that a time step was set to 2 fs. The short-range van der Waals (VDW) interactions were calculated by the switch function with a twin range cutoff of 10.0 and 12.0 Å, and the long-range electrostatic interactions were calculated by the force shift function with a cutoff of 12.0 Å. Nonbonded neighbor lists were updated automatically on the basis of the heuristic algorithm. Each system was simulated for 12 ns at 330 K, and the trajectories were saved at 1.0 ps intervals for later analysis. A summary of the model systems is given in Table 1.

**Analysis Details.** The conformational stability of the nanostructure is measured by a calculation of the backbone root mean square deviation (RMSD) relative to the initial structure throughout the simulations.

The overall size of a given structure is measured by its radius of gyration ($R_{\text{gyr}}$). $R_{\text{gyr}}$ is defined as the mass-weighted geometric mean of the distance of each atom from the protein’s center of mass:

$$R_{\text{gyr}} = \sqrt[3]{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} m_j (r_j - r_{\text{com}})^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} m_j}}$$

The secondary structure is monitored by computing the backbone $\psi$, $\phi$ dihedral angles at each loop region. The $\phi$, $\psi$ angles cluster into distinct regions according to the following definitions (67): $\alpha_L$ region, $\psi = -30^\circ$ to $100^\circ$; $\phi = 30^\circ$ to $100^\circ$; $\alpha_R$ region, $\psi = -20^\circ$ to $-60^\circ$; $\phi = -40^\circ$ to $-90^\circ$; $\beta$ region, $\psi = 75^\circ$ to $180^\circ$; $\phi = -100^\circ$ to $180^\circ$; bridge region, $\psi = -20^\circ$ to $75^\circ$; $\phi = -40^\circ$ to $180^\circ$. A narrowly distributed $\phi$, $\psi$ dihedral angle indicates that the side chains of the amino acid are constrained in their movements.

The native contacts consist of backbone hydrogen bonds and side chain contacts (40). A hydrogen bond is assigned if the distance between donor D and acceptor A is $e_3.6$ Å and the angle $D-H-A$ is $\pm 120^\circ$, and a side chain contact is defined if the distance between the center of mass of two adjacent side chains is less than 6.7 Å.

To examine the nanostructural integrity, the average mass center distance between adjacent building subunits is measured to characterize the peptide associations. A hydrophobic contact is defined when the center of mass distance between two hydrophobic side chains is less than 4 Å.

**RESULTS**

To avoid redundant terminology, the notation used in this study to denote a mutant is indicated by the one letter residue

---

**Table 1: Summary of the Model Systems**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systems</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Mutation Position</th>
<th>Repeat Units (Simulation Times, ns)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1KRR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>FITGNYWGISWYVIN</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>1 (4 ns) 4 (12 ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>FITGNYWGISWYVIN</td>
<td>Ala30</td>
<td>1 (4 ns) 4 (12 ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>GYVAGS IVT</td>
<td>Asn7, Asn25</td>
<td>1 (4 ns) 4 (12 ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>GYVAGS IVT</td>
<td>Ser12, Ala30</td>
<td>1 (4 ns) 4 (12 ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>GYVAGS IVT</td>
<td>His13, Gly31</td>
<td>1 (4 ns) 4 (12 ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>CVIKNSV1GDBCEISPY</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>1 (4 ns) 4 (12 ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>CVIKNSV1GDBCEISPY</td>
<td>Ala26</td>
<td>1 (4 ns) 4 (8 ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>CVIKNSV1GDBCEISPY</td>
<td>Ala27</td>
<td>1 (4 ns) 4 (12 ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>CVIKNSV1GDBCEISPY</td>
<td>Asp10, Ala27</td>
<td>1 (4 ns) 4 (12 ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5</td>
<td>CVIKNSV1GDBCEISPY</td>
<td>Asp11, Ala28</td>
<td>1 (4 ns) 4 (12 ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6</td>
<td>CVIKNSV1GDBCEISPY</td>
<td>Asn5, Asn22</td>
<td>1 (4 ns) 4 (12 ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B7</td>
<td>CVIKNSV1GDBCEISPY</td>
<td>Asn5, Ala27</td>
<td>1 (4 ns) 4 (12 ns)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
code, followed by its corresponding position number. For example, D10A27 signifies a double mutant with substitutions of Asp and Ala at positions 10 and 27 by Ac3c residues.

**Stability of the Single Unit of Wild-Type 1HV9 and 1KRR.**

Figure 4a presents the backbone RMSDs of the peptides as compared to the initial crystal structure. These were calculated to measure the overall structural stability throughout the 4 ns simulations. For the wild-type 1KRR system, the backbone RMSD oscillated around a roughly constant value of 1.0 ± 0.2 Å. No large conformational change was observed during the simulation, indicating that the overall structure of 1KRR was very stable (Figure 4b). Unlike 1KRR, the simulation of 1HV9 showed that within 0.25 ns the RMSD quickly increased to 3.5 Å and then gradually reached 10 Å at 4 ns, exhibiting a dramatic increase in fluctuation. The secondary structure, especially for the helical strand-loop motif, was no longer maintained as shown in a sequence of snapshots (Figure 4b). To assess the local dynamical variation of the peptides, the RMS fluctuation (RMSF) of individual Cα atoms was measured from their average positions during the simulations (Figure 5a,b). The Cα RMSF of the 1KRR structure displayed low fluctuation for all residues. In contrast, for 1HV9, two sharp peaks corresponding to loop L1 (residues Lys4, Asn5, and Ser6) and loop L2 (residues Ala26, Ala27, and Ala28) were observed. The β-strand regions exhibit significantly smaller fluctuations from their average positions than the loop regions. Comparison of the RMSD and RMSF indicates that the loop regions are the primary contributors to the overall instability in 1HV9.

Panels c and d of Figure 5 showed the (δ, ψ) distributions for loop residues of 1KRR and 1HV9, respectively. For 1KRR, the (δ, ψ) distributions in three loop regions fell into two clusters, one of which occurs in the β region and the other in the αL region, consistent with the experimental observation by Govaerts and co-workers (26) that, for left-handed β-helices, the residues in the loop regions mainly adopt αL conformations. In contrast, residues in the loop regions of 1HV9 exhibited larger backbone torsion variations in the β and αβ regions with a wide range of ψ = −180° to 180°. These findings suggest that the secondary structures of the loop regions in 1KRR are relatively well preserved as compared to those of 1HV9, consistent with the RMSD and RMSF results.

Figure 5e showed the evolution of the native contacts for both 1KRR and 1HV9. By decomposing the native contacts into backbone hydrogen bonds and side chain contacts, it can be seen that, for both 1KRR and 1HV9, a large number of side chain contacts remained approximately constant. On the other hand, the total number of backbone hydrogen bonds of 1HV9 dropped from the initial value of ~30 to ~5 within 0.5 ns and remained at this value for the rest of the simulation, whereas 1KRR maintained an almost constant number of backbone hydrogen bonds during the simulations. Moreover, 1KRR has only one negatively charged residue, Asp24, located at loop 3 (Figure 3). 1HV9 has six charged residues (Asp10, Asp11, Glu21, Asp22, Lys4, and Glu13), and two pairs of negatively charged, adjacent residues, Asp10-Asp11 and Glu21-Asp22, are in the flexible loop regions, which leads to unfavorable electrostatic repulsion.  

![Figure 4](image-url)  

**Figure 4:** (a) Backbone RMSD of wild-type 1KRR (black) and 1HV9 (red) relative to their crystal structures as a function of simulation time at 330 K. (b) Snapshots of 1KRR (upper panel) and 1HV9 (lower panel) taken from the simulations at 0, 1, 2, and 4 ns, respectively. The coil is shown in purple, the turn in cyan, and the extension in yellow.
destabilizing the peptide. Taken together, the structural instability of 1HV9 could be attributed to the loss of backbone hydrogen bonds and electrostatic repulsion of the charged side chains in the loop regions.

**Stability of the Single Unit of Mutants 1HV9 and 1KRR.**

A residue was selected and mutated by Ac3-c according to the following criteria: (i) The residue is located in the loop regions. The loop and turn regions generally display higher mobility because they are loosely packed against each other or against the \(\beta\)-sheets (68). Thus, targeting flexible regions could have direct impact on the structural stability of peptides. (ii) The side chain of the residue in the loop regions is outward-pointing away from the hydrophobic core. (iii) To reduce the electrostatic repulsion, a residue with charged side chains in the loop regions could be a candidate position for substitution. (iv) The backbone conformation of the residue is not preferred to be in the \(\beta\)-strand. Preferably, the mutated residue should be able to participate in a \(\beta\)-turn within the loop in order to facilitate and stabilize the incorporation of the Ac3-c residue.

For the 1KRR systems, the two double mutants of N7N25 and H13G31 were generally stable but exhibited small structural flexibility with RMSD values of 1.0 \(\pm\) 0.1 Å, close to the RMSD value of the wild type, while the single mutant A30 and double mutant S12A30 experienced larger structural deviations and were not able to maintain the native \(\beta\)-helical structures, as shown in Figure 6a. For the 1HV9 systems, the RMSDs of all mutants were smaller than that...
of the wild type (Figure 6b). Among the mutants, the structural deviations for the mutants of N5A27, A27, and D10A27 were maintained at a relatively low level in the 4 ns simulations (<4 Å). For the mutants of A26 and D11A28, the RMSDs gradually increased to 4 Å within the first 3.5 ns and then rapidly increased to 7 Å in the last 0.5 ns, indicating that a conformational change occurred at 3.5 ns. The least stable N5D22 mutant suffered substantial conformational changes with large RMSD fluctuations. Replacements of D10 or D11 by Ac3c have been shown to increase the stability by removal of the electrostatic repulsion between the negative charges of D10 and D11 in the loop region. We note however that RMSD values do not necessarily provide accurate insights into the structural changes. Visual inspection of all trajectories confirmed that Ac3c substitutions in the loop regions were able to enhance the stability of the β-helical structures to some extent. Although 1HV9 mutants N5D22, A26, and D11A28 were unstable, those mutants still exhibited structural stability during a longer time than the wild type. The increased stability could originate from the constrained local loop conformations as well as possible reduction in electrostatic repulsion.

Figure 7 shows the residue-based fluctuation of the mutants in comparison with their corresponding wild types. For all 1KRR mutants, Ac3c substitutions in the loop regions did not reduce the fluctuations of the residues. Visual inspection of all trajectories confirmed that Ac3c substitutions in the loop regions were able to enhance the stability of the β-helical structures to some extent. Although 1HV9 mutants N5D22, A26, and D11A28 were unstable, those mutants still exhibited structural stability during a longer time than the wild type. The increased stability could originate from the constrained local loop conformations as well as possible reduction in electrostatic repulsion.

Figure 6 shows the residue-based fluctuation of the mutants in comparison with their corresponding wild types. For all 1KRR mutants, Ac3c substitutions in the loop regions did not reduce the fluctuations of the residues. Visual inspection of all trajectories confirmed that Ac3c substitutions in the loop regions were able to enhance the stability of the β-helical structures to some extent. Although 1HV9 mutants N5D22, A26, and D11A28 were unstable, those mutants still exhibited structural stability during a longer time than the wild type. The increased stability could originate from the constrained local loop conformations as well as possible reduction in electrostatic repulsion.

Substitutions suppressed the structural fluctuations in the loop 1 and loop 2 regions, simply because of the introduction of backbone constraints. In particular, three mutants (A27, D10A27, and N5A27) exhibited the most dramatic reduction of residue fluctuations across the entire sequence. All three mutants involved a mutation at position Ala27. This observation may imply that this mutation site has substantial influence on residue flexibility and overall structural stability.

Figure 8 shows the backbone conformations of all residues in the loops for 1KRR mutant N7N25 and 1HV9 mutant A27. As can be seen, the backbone dihedral angles of Ac3c at substituted positions are restricted to ϕ ≈ ±80° and ψ ≈ 0°, consistent with both the intrinsic conformational preferences predicted for the isolated residue (45, 46) and the experimental data of small peptides containing this residue (45, 46). Although an Ac3c substitution could alter the backbone dihedral angles of its neighboring residues, overall the loop residues can self-adapt to the regular β-helical organization. As compared with wild types (Figure 5), the distribution of (ϕ, ψ) dihedral angles is narrowed in the mutants, simply because Ac3c restricts backbone conformation to the desired value and thus contributes to its structural stability.

**Stability of Nanotubular Structures.** As expected, during the 12 ns simulation the 1KRR nanotubular structure with four repeat units displayed a high stability with a small structural difference from the initial structure of about 1.1 Å (Figure 9). The 1KRR tubular model retained an approximately constant number of hydrogen bonds (Figure 11a) and hydrophobic contacts (Figure 12a) between adjacent
subunits throughout the simulation, most of which were preserved. These hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic contacts might also contribute to the preservation of the intermolecular distance at its initial value of 9.8 Å (Figure 10a). The relatively constant intermolecular distance also indicates that each subunit has a fairly good backbone and side chain interactions with its neighbors. In contrast, the 1HV9 nanotubular structure displayed its instability with a continual increase in the structural fluctuation. Visual inspection (Figure 9c) showed that at 3 ns the subunits of 1HV9 started to move away from each other; at 6 ns two edge subunits were rotated and shifted, causing a bent nanotubular structure, and at the same time the intermolecular interface was largely exposed to bulk solvent; and at 12 ns 1HV9 completely lost the initial, compact nanotubular structure. During the disassembly process, the 1HV9 tubular model exhibited a rapid increase in the averaged intermolecular distance. It appears that the loss of hydrogen bonds (Figure 11b) and hydrophobic contacts (Figure 12b) between the subunit building blocks are responsible for the nanostructure disassociation.

For the 1KRR mutant systems, the structural deviation for the H13G31 mutant was among the largest. The backbone RMSD continued to rise during the 12 ns simulation to reach 5.5 Å relative to the starting structure (Figure 9a). This behavior is consistent with the intermolecular distance between each subunit building block increasing from the initial value of 9.8 to 12.5 Å (Figure 10a). The instability of this nanostructure could be partially attributed to the loss of hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic contacts (Figure 12b) between the subunit building blocks are responsible for the nanostructure disassociation.

For the 1KRR mutant systems, the structural deviation for the H13G31 mutant was among the largest. The backbone RMSD continued to rise during the 12 ns simulation to reach 5.5 Å relative to the starting structure (Figure 9a). This behavior is consistent with the intermolecular distance between each subunit building block increasing from the initial value of 9.8 to 12.5 Å (Figure 10a). The instability of this nanostructure could be partially attributed to the loss of hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic contacts (Figure 12b) between the subunit building blocks are responsible for the nanostructure disassociation.

For the 1KRR mutant systems, the structural deviation for the H13G31 mutant was among the largest. The backbone RMSD continued to rise during the 12 ns simulation to reach 5.5 Å relative to the starting structure (Figure 9a). This behavior is consistent with the intermolecular distance between each subunit building block increasing from the initial value of 9.8 to 12.5 Å (Figure 10a). The instability of this nanostructure could be partially attributed to the loss of hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic contacts (Figure 12b) between the subunit building blocks are responsible for the nanostructure disassociation.

For the 1KRR mutant systems, the structural deviation for the H13G31 mutant was among the largest. The backbone RMSD continued to rise during the 12 ns simulation to reach 5.5 Å relative to the starting structure (Figure 9a). This behavior is consistent with the intermolecular distance between each subunit building block increasing from the initial value of 9.8 to 12.5 Å (Figure 10a). The instability of this nanostructure could be partially attributed to the loss of hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic contacts (Figure 12b) between the subunit building blocks are responsible for the nanostructure disassociation.
of 1KRR trimeric structures with single and four repeat units for the wild-type and mutated sequences. For the four repeat units, we observed that the overall size of the trimeric structures as measured by $R_g$ was very stable, with around 20.3 ± 0.2 Å for different sequences. As compared to monomeric structures (Figure 9a), trimeric structures have relatively small structural deviation from their starting structures, with the RMSD values oscillating around 1.3 ± 0.2 Å. Thus, both RMSD and radius of gyration values consistently showed that the trimeric structures have a higher stability than the monomeric structures, mainly because trimeric structures have a much larger interface involving three β-helical segments. Mutations occurring at the sub-β-unit interfaces did not improve the overall stability of trimeric
structures (Figure 13a). But the original triangular form was well maintained during the simulations (Figure 13c), implying that the monomeric 1KRR triangular form could be the native state. We note, however, that for the single repeat unit all trimeric structures were unstable. The three $\beta$-helical segments separated from each other very quickly, but each of them was still well conserved (data not shown). Similar to amyloid fibril elongation, the stability of trimeric structures increased as they progressed along a 3-fold screw axis.

DISCUSSION

For the left-handed $\beta$-helical nanotubular structures in this study, Ac$_3$C substitutions in loop regions generally enhance the overall stability of the nanostructure. Simulation results also show that the stability is position-dependent. Some positions are very tolerant to mutations, while others are sensitive. Detailed analysis of the interactions between protein building blocks is crucial to understand the structural basis of stabilization/destabilization effects.

Association Force. In general, the driving force for molecular assembly is mainly based on electrostatic attraction, vdW interactions, hydrophobic interactions, and hydrogen bonds, that is, geometric complementarity and structural compatibility (69). Comparing the structural stability of a single building subunit with that of four subunits for the 1KRR and 1HV9 systems, it appears that extra building units could delay the progressive loss of the organization. The stacking of identical residues on top of each other, for example, Asn-Asn ladders occurring in the nanotubular structures, provides additional stability to the nanostructures. Furthermore, association forces such as hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic contacts between adjacent subunits also contribute to the stability of the nanostructures. The intermolecular interactions between subunits may com-
Compensate for some of the local structural changes that destabilize the monomeric building blocks. Even for the unstable nanotubular structures that tend to disassociate at a very early stage of the simulations, the disruption of the loop-strand motif is slowed down, and the subunits in the middle continued to be rather stable. This is not surprising: associations through noncovalent interactions between the building blocks mutually stabilize each other due to the increased interactions at the interfaces. Similar stabilizing behavior was also observed in other protein and peptide assemblies. For example, higher order aggregates of amyloid fibrils generally exhibit more stable molecular structures (39, 70), with the stability increasing with size.

Molecular Size and Hydrophobicity. For a successful design, it is desirable that the substitutions retain both favorable packing interactions and hydrogen bonding with the neighboring residues. A large substituted residue would lead to unfavorable steric hindrance with nearby residues while a small one cannot attain sufficient packing interactions. The hydrophobic, small alanine is a suitable amino acid to be replaced by Ac3c since it has a comparable size and hydrophobicity with respect to Ac3c. Ala stabilizes the nanotubular structures at position 30 in the Gly29-Ala30-Gly31 motif of the 1KRR system and at position 27 in the Ala26-Ala27-Ala28 motif of the 1HV9 system, respectively. On the other hand, the replacement of large, polar residues by Ac3c leads to the loss of hydrogen bonds and side chain interactions with the neighboring residues. Simulation results showed that most of the mutants with substitutions of polar, hydrophilic residues (Asn, His, and Gln) by Ac3c in both 1KRR and 1HV9 systems exhibited relatively stable nanotubular structures, but they were not as stable as the mutants with replacement of Ala → Ac3c. This fact indicates that, as compared to the reduction of flexibility in the loop region by the introduction of the highly constrained Ac3c, the loss of hydrogen bonds and side chain contacts does not necessarily destabilize the nanostructure.

We also examined the effect of c3Dip substitutions on the stability of single building subunits and on the stability of four repetitive subunits of 1KRR and 1HV9 systems (data not shown). The mutation sites were exactly the same as those in Ac3c studies. Simulations showed that for both 1KRR and 1HV9 systems, c3Dip mutants with a single building subunit experienced relatively larger structural deviations than Ac3c mutants while still maintaining the native triangular conformation to some extent. However, none of the mutants was able to maintain the initial tubular structures, and they disassociated quickly, especially for those double mutants in which mutations occur at two consecutive loop positions. This could be due to the large c3Dip residues with two phenylalanine rings which cannot properly fit in the tightly packed loop regions to avoid steric conflict with neighboring residues and neighboring subunits while still maintaining favorable interactions such as hydrogen bonding and π-stacking interactions. These results indicate that synthetic amino acids must be engineered, taking into account not only the desired conformational motif but also the steric and geometric restrictions imposed by the molecular system where it will be incorporated. Accordingly, the stereocchemical constraints designed to stabilize a given region of the conformational map should reflect this equilibrium. The size of c3Dip, originally designed to stabilize the γ-turns in small model peptides (53), may not be suitable for the β-helical structures.

Mutation Site. The reduction of loop flexibility may increase the population time of the peptide in the proper

![Figure 13: Evolution of the 1KRR trimeric structures during the simulations.](image-url)
conformation prior to assembly. Our results suggest that residues in the $\beta$-strand region are more sensitive to $\text{Ac}_3\text{c}$ replacement, as shown in the case of the A26 mutant in the 1HV9 system. $\text{Ac}_3\text{c}$ replacement in this site fails to maintain the proper fold of the peptide and to reduce the conformational flexibility of the loop, leading to the large structural deviation. In addition, Gly occurring in the loop region would not be a good candidate to be substituted by $\text{Ac}_3\text{c}$ to enhance the stability. Gly has a high occurrence in the turn or loop region, where it acts as a flexible hinge to absorb the tension in the loop. Its flexible backbone allows a conformational adjustment to avoid steric hindrance with its adjacent residues while still maintaining favorable interactions. A sterically unfavorable substitution of Gly by an $\text{Ac}_3\text{c}$ residue would weaken the hinge activity due to improper backbone geometry, as indicated in the 1KRR-H13G31 nanostructure.

CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we construct novel nanotubular structures by stacking left-handed $\beta$-helical protein building blocks on top of each other. We focus on two left-handed $\beta$-helical motifs. A conformationally constrained synthetic residue ($\text{Ac}_3\text{c}$) is introduced in the loop regions to examine the effect of a geometrical confinement on the stability of nanotubular structures. All-atom MD simulations show that when $\text{Ac}_3\text{c}$ is introduced in the loop regions, it is able to enhance the stability of the nanotubular structures due to its highly strained backbone and strong tendency to adopt a turn structure. This is consistent with our earlier computational studies, which used the native amino acid proline to improve the stability of the nanostructure (44). Position-specific mutations also indicate that a potentially good mutational site should have comparable molecular size, proper backbone geometry, and similar hydrophobicity with respect to those properties of $\text{Ac}_3\text{c}$. Specifically, substitutions of the middle Ala by $\text{Ac}_3\text{c}$ in different Gly-Ala-Gly and Ala-Ala-Ala motifs lead to remarkable stability, implying that these motifs could be potential targets for mutations. To further address the potential use of conformationally restricted amino acids to stabilize natural building block foldamers, we are currently exploring other 1-aminocycloalkanecarboxylic analogues ($\text{Ac}_n\text{c}$ with $n = 4, 5, 6, 8$ where $n$ represents the size of the cyclic side chain) to examine their stabilizing effect on nanostructures using both experimental and computational techniques.
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