COMP 515: Advanced Compilation for Vector and Parallel Processors

Vivek Sarkar
Department of Computer Science
Rice University
vsarkar@rice.edu
Acknowledgments

- Slides from previous offerings of COMP 515 by Prof. Ken Kennedy
Dependence: Theory and Practice

Allen and Kennedy, Chapter 2
Dependence: Theory and Practice

What shall we cover in this chapter?
• Introduction to Dependences
• Loop-carried and Loop-independent Dependences
• Simple Dependence Testing
• Parallelization and Vectorization
Dependences

• We will concentrate on data dependences
• Chapter 7 deals with control dependences

• Simple example of data dependence:

\[ S_1 \quad \text{PI} = 3.14 \]
\[ S_2 \quad R = 5.0 \]
\[ S_3 \quad \text{AREA} = \text{PI} \times R^{**} 2 \]

• Statement \( S_3 \) cannot be moved before either \( S_1 \) or \( S_2 \) without compromising correct results
Dependences

• Formally:

There is a data dependence from statement $S_1$ to statement $S_2$ ($S_2$ depends on $S_1$) if:

1. Both statements access the same memory location and at least one of them stores onto it, and
2. There is a feasible run-time execution path from $S_1$ to $S_2$
Load Store Classification

- Quick review of dependences classified in terms of load-store order:
  1. True dependences (RAW hazard)
     - \( S_2 \) depends on \( S_1 \) is denoted by \( S_1 \delta S_2 \)
  2. Antidependence (WAR hazard)
     - \( S_2 \) depends on \( S_1 \) is denoted by \( S_1 \delta^{-1} S_2 \)
  3. Output dependence (WAW hazard)
     - \( S_2 \) depends on \( S_1 \) is denoted by \( S_1 \delta^0 S_2 \)
Dependence in Loops

• Let us look at two different loops:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{DO } I &= 1, N \\
S_1 & \quad A(I+1) = A(I) + B(I) \\
& \quad \text{ENDDO}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{DO } I &= 1, N \\
S_1 & \quad A(I+2) = A(I) + B(I) \\
& \quad \text{ENDDO}
\end{align*}
\]

• In both cases, statement \( S_1 \) depends on itself

• However, there is a significant difference

• We need a formalism to describe and distinguish such dependences
Iteration Numbers

• The iteration number of a loop is equal to the value of the loop index

• Definition:
  — For an arbitrary loop in which the loop index I runs from L to U in steps of S, the iteration number \( i \) of a specific iteration is equal to the index value I on that iteration

Example:

```fortran
DO I = 0, 10, 2
  S1 <some statement>
ENDDO
```
Iteration Vectors

What do we do for nested loops?

• Need to consider the nesting level of a loop

• Nesting level of a loop is equal to one more than the number of loops that enclose it.

• Given a nest of $n$ loops, the iteration vector $i$ of a particular iteration of the innermost loop is a vector of integers that contains the iteration numbers for each of the loops in order of nesting level.

• Thus, the iteration vector is: $\{i_1, i_2, ..., i_n\}$
  where $i_k$, $1 \leq k \leq m$ represents the iteration number for the loop at nesting level $k$
Iteration Vectors

Example:

DO I = 1, 2
    DO J = 1, 2
        S_1 <some statement>
        ENDDO
    ENDDO
ENDDO

• The iteration vector $S_1[(2, 1)]$ denotes the instance of $S_1$ executed during the 2nd iteration of the I loop and the 1st iteration of the J loop
Ordering of Iteration Vectors

• Iteration Space: The set of all possible iteration vectors for a statement

Example:

```plaintext
DO I = 1, 2
  DO J = 1, 2
  S_1 <some statement>
  ENDDO
ENDDO
```

• The iteration space for $S_1$ is \{(1,1), (1,2), (2,1), (2,2)\}
Ordering of Iteration Vectors

• Useful to define an ordering for iteration vectors

• Define an intuitive, lexicographic order

• Iteration $i$ precedes iteration $j$, denoted $i < j$, iff:
  1. $i[i:n-1] < j[1:n-1]$, or
  2. $i[1:n-1] = j[1:n-1]$ and $i_n < j_n$
Formal Definition of Loop Dependence

• **Theorem 2.1 Loop Dependence:**
  There exists a dependence from statements $S_1$ to statement $S_2$ in a common nest of loops if and only if there exist two iteration vectors $i$ and $j$ for the nest, such that
  (1) $i < j$ or $i = j$ and there is a path from $S_1$ to $S_2$ in the body of the loop,
  (2) statement $S_1$ accesses memory location $M$ on iteration $i$ and statement $S_2$ accesses location $M$ on iteration $j$, and
  (3) one of these accesses is a write.

• Follows from the definition of dependence
Reordering Transformations

• A reordering transformation is any program transformation that merely changes the order of execution of the code, without adding or deleting any executions of any statement.

• A reordering transformation does not eliminate dependences.

• A reordering transformation preserves a dependence if it preserves the relative execution order of the source and sink of that dependence.

• Fundamental Theorem of Dependence:
  — Any reordering transformation that preserves every dependence in a program preserves the meaning of that program.
  — Proof by contradiction. Theorem 2.2 in the book.

• A transformation is said to be valid or legal for the program to which it applies if it preserves all dependences in the program.
Distance Vectors

- Consider a dependence in a loop nest of n loops
  - Statement $S_1$ on iteration $i$ is the source of the dependence
  - Statement $S_2$ on iteration $j$ is the sink of the dependence

- The distance vector is a vector of length $n$ $d(i,j)$ such that:
  $$d(i,j)_k = j_k - i_k$$

- We shall normalize distance vectors for loops in which the index step size is not equal to 1.
Distance Vector Example

Example:

```
DO I = 1, N
    DO J = 1, M
        DO K = 1, L
            S_1       A(I+1, J, K-1) = A(I, J, K) + 10
        ENDDO
    ENDDO
ENDDO
ENDDO
ENDDO
```

- $S_1$ has a true dependence on itself.
  - Distance Vector: $(1, 0, -1)$

- Are there any anti or output dependences in this example?
Direction Vectors

• Definition 2.10 in the book:

Suppose that there is a dependence from statement \( S_1 \) on iteration \( i \) of a loop nest of \( n \) loops and statement \( S_2 \) on iteration \( j \), then the dependence direction vector is \( \mathbf{D}(i, j) \) is defined as a vector of length \( n \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{“<” if } & \quad d(i, j)_k > 0 \\
\text{“=” if } & \quad d(i, j)_k = 0 \\
\text{“>” if } & \quad d(i, j)_k < 0
\end{align*}
\]

• A direction vector element *summarizes* a set of distances
Direction Vector Example

Example:

```plaintext
DO I = 1, N
    DO J = 1, M
        DO K = 1, L
            S_1 A(I+1, J, f(K)) = A(I, J, K) + 10
        ENDDO
    ENDDO
ENDDO
ENDDO
ENDDO
```

- $S_1$ has a true dependence on itself.
  - Direction Vector: $(1, 0, *)$ or $(<, =, *)$
- $S_1$ has an output dependence on itself.
  - Direction Vector: $(0, 0, *)$ or $(=, =, *)$
Implausible Distance & Direction Vectors

- A distance vector is *implausible* if its leftmost nonzero element is negative i.e., if the vector is lexicographically less than the zero vector.

- Likewise, a direction vector is implausible if its leftmost non "=" component is not "<".

- No dependence in a sequential program can have an implausible distance or direction vector as this would imply that the sink of the dependence occurs before the source.
Theorem 2.3. Direction Vector Transformation. Let $T$ be a transformation that is applied to a loop nest and that does not rearrange the statements in the body of the loop. Then the transformation is valid if, after it is applied, none of the direction vectors for dependences with source and sink in the nest has a leftmost non-"=" component that is ">" i.e., none of the transformed direction vectors become implausible.

Follows from Fundamental Theorem of Dependence:
- All dependences exist
- None of the dependences have been reversed
Loop-carried and Loop-independent Dependences

- If in a loop statement $S_2$ depends on $S_1$, then there are two possible ways of this dependence occurring:

1. $S_1$ and $S_2$ execute on different iterations
   - This is called a loop-carried dependence.

2. $S_1$ and $S_2$ execute on the same iteration
   - This is called a loop-independent dependence.
Loop-carried dependence

- Definition 2.11
- Statement $S_2$ has a *loop-carried dependence* on statement $S_1$ if and only if $S_1$ references location $M$ on iteration $i$, $S_2$ references $M$ on iteration $j$ and $d(i, j) > 0$ i.e., $D(i, j)$ contains a “<” as leftmost non “=” component and is *lexicographically positive*.

Example:

```plaintext
DO I = 1, N
  S_1  A(I+1) = F(I)
  S_2  F(I+1) = A(I)
ENDDO
```
Loop-carried dependence

- Level of a loop-carried dependence is the index of the leftmost non-“=” of \( D(i,j) \) for the dependence.

For instance:

```fortran
DO I = 1, 10
    DO J = 1, 10
        DO K = 1, 10
            S_1 \ A(I, J, K+1) = A(I, J, K)
            ENDDO
        ENDDO
    ENDDO
ENDDO
```

- Direction vector for \( S_1 \) is \((=, =, <)\)
- Level of the dependence is 3
- A level-\( k \) dependence between \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) is denoted by \( S_1 \delta_k S_2 \)
Loop-carried Transformations

• **Theorem 2.4** Any reordering transformation that (1) preserves the iteration order of the level-\(k\) loop, (2) does not interchange any loop at level \(< k\) to a level \(> k\), and (3) does not interchange any loop at level \(> k\) to a position \(< k\), must preserve all level-\(k\) dependences.

• **Proof:**
  
  \[ D(i, j) \text{ has a } "<" \text{ in the } k^{th} \text{ position and } "=" \text{ in positions } 1 \text{ through } k-1 \]
  
  \[ \Rightarrow \text{ Source and sink of dependence are in the same iteration of loops } 1 \text{ through } k-1 \]
  
  \[ \Rightarrow \text{ Cannot change the sense of the dependence by a reordering of iterations of those loops} \]

• As a result of the theorem, powerful transformations can be applied
Loop-carried Transformations

Example:

```
DO I = 1, 10
  S1   A(I+1) = F(I)
  S2   F(I+1) = A(I)
ENDDO
```

can be transformed to:

```
DO I = 1, 10
  S1   F(I+1) = A(I)
  S2   A(I+1) = F(I)
ENDDO
```
• **Definition 2.15.** Statement $S_2$ has a *loop-independent dependence* on statement $S_1$ if and only if there exist two iteration vectors $i$ and $j$ such that:

1) Statement $S_1$ refers to memory location $M$ on iteration $i$, $S_2$ refers to $M$ on iteration $j$, and $i = j$.

2) There is a control flow path from $S_1$ to $S_2$ within the iteration.

**Example:**

```plaintext
DO I = 1, 10
  S_1 A(I) = ...  
  S_2 ... = A(I)
ENDDO
```
Loop-independent dependences

More complicated example:

DO I = 1, 9
S_1 A(I) = ...
S_2 ... = A(10-I)
ENDDO

• No common loop is necessary. For instance:

DO I = 1, 10
S_1 A(I) = ...
ENDDO

DO I = 1, 10
S_2 ... = A(20-I)
ENDDO
Loop-independent dependences

• **Theorem 2.5.** If there is a loop-independent dependence from $S_1$ to $S_2$, any reordering transformation that does not move statement instances between iterations and preserves the relative order of $S_1$ and $S_2$ in the loop body preserves that dependence.

• $S_2$ depends on $S_1$ with a loop independent dependence is denoted by $S_1 \delta_\infty S_2$

• Note that the direction vector will have entries that are all “=“ for loop independent dependences
Loop-carried and Loop-independent Dependences

- Loop-independent and loop-carried dependence partition all possible data dependences!

- Note that if \( S_1 \delta S_2 \), then \( S_1 \) executes before \( S_2 \). This can happen only if:
  - The difference vector for the dependence is less than 0, or
  - The difference vector equals 0 and \( S_1 \) occurs before \( S_2 \) textually

  ...precisely the criteria for loop-carried and loop-independent dependences.
• **Theorem 2.7:** Let $a$ and $b$ be iteration vectors within the iteration space of the following loop nest:

\[
\begin{align*}
    &\text{DO } i_1 = L_1, U_1, S_1 \\
    &\text{DO } i_2 = L_2, U_2, S_2 \\
    &\quad \vdots \\
    &\quad \text{DO } i_n = L_n, U_n, S_n \\
    &S_1 \quad A(f_1(i_1, \ldots, i_n), \ldots, f_m(i_1, \ldots, i_n)) = \ldots \\
    &S_2 \quad \ldots = A(g_1(i_1, \ldots, i_n), \ldots, g_m(i_1, \ldots, i_n)) \\
    &\text{ENDDO} \\
    \quad \vdots \\
    &\text{ENDDO} \\
    &\text{ENDDO}
\end{align*}
\]
Simple Dependence Testing

DO \( i_1 = L_1, U_1, S_1 \)
  DO \( i_2 = L_2, U_2, S_2 \)
  ...  
  DO \( i_n = L_n, U_n, S_n \)
S_1 \( A(f_1(i_1, \ldots, i_n), \ldots, f_m(i_1, \ldots, i_n)) = \ldots \)
S_2 \( \ldots = A(g_1(i_1, \ldots, i_n), \ldots, g_m(i_1, \ldots, i_n)) \)  
  ENDDO
  ...
ENDDO

ENDDO

- A dependence exists from \( S_1 \) to \( S_2 \) if and only if there exist values of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) such that (1) \( \alpha \) is lexicographically less than or equal to \( \beta \) and (2) the following system of dependence equations is satisfied: \( f_i(\alpha) = g_i(\beta) \) for all \( i, 1 \leq i \leq m \)

- Direct application of Loop Dependence Theorem
Homework

• Reading list for next class
  — *Chapter 2, Dependence: Theory and Practice*

• Homework assignment for discussion in next class
  — Exercises 2.2 and 2.3